

**Town of Danville  
Public Hearing  
Approved Minutes  
August 27, 2020  
Danville Town Hall 7:30 pm**

In Attendance: Michael Hogue, Vince Foy, Glenn Herrin, Jeff Paquet, Kate Whitehead, Jenni Lavoie, Mark Nicholson

Guests: Elizabeth Ferraro, Kate Chatot, Rob Balivet

Jenni opened the public hearing at 7:00pm

**Public Hearing for the Proposed Amendment to the Zoning Bylaw**

Jenni shared that major changes to the amendment include:

- Reorganizes articles and sections to group general rules and processes together
- Updates Village / Historic / Route 2 / Design Control districts
- Adds a Developed Shoreland district (for Joes Pond)
- Adds/updates waiver and variance processes
- Adds provisions for Rural Residential Clustering
- Adds a permitting flow chart

**Discussion & Public Comments:**

- Written comments were received by the DRB, Rob Balivet and Alison Low (NVDA)
- Historic Design Control District – who is going to oversee this? Michael said that the plan was to create a committee to assist the DRB, but we aren't planning to start that group now.
- How would the demolition of single family home fit into the historic design review criteria?
- Flood regulation update/Flood Control Overlay – the PC will look at this in the next couple of years.
- Alison suggested using "certificate of compliance" language vs. "certificate of occupancy".
- Include a definition for Airbnb
- Formatting of the zoning document should be consistent throughout. Consider using bulleted lists.
- Change "handicap" terminology – suggestions include ADA compliant or accessible parking
- Discussed removal of "rear set-back" language in section 402.3 It was removed in the case of "odd" shaped lots. Structures would only have "sides" (i.e. front side, back side, etc.) Suggestion to explain this in the definition.
- Areas of the Historic Design District aren't clear – Michael will revise
- Water quality language should be less specific to include both Joe's Pond & Keyser Pond.

- PC should conduct an exercise using the Rte 2 house (next to Town office) that was torn down. How would that fit with current Design Review Criteria/Process?
- Rob had concerns over future PUDs & 1 acre lots – this is too large. Rob suggests ½ acre or smaller lots for PUDs or consider enlarging Village core area to discourage sprawl.

The PC will review the written notes in detail at our next meeting.

**MOTION:** Michael Hogue made a motion to adjourn. Glenn Herrin seconded. Motion Carried.

The hearing closed at 7:43 pm.

Respectfully submitted by Kate Whitehead